arXiv:1603.03109v1 [math.CO] 10 Mar 2016

On the permanental nullity and matching number of graphs*

Tingzeng Wu[a]†, Hong-Jian Lai[b]
[a]School of Mathematics and Statistics, Qinghai Nationalities University,
Xining, Qinghai 810007, P.R. China
[b]Department of Mathematics, West Virginia University,
Morgantown, WV, USA

Abstract: For a graph G with n vertices, let $\nu(G)$ and $A(G)$ denote the matching number and adjacency matrix of G, respectively. The permanental polynomial of G is defined as $\pi(G,x) = \mathrm{per}(Ix - A(G))$. The permanental nullity of G, denoted by $\eta_{per}(G)$, is the multiplicity of the zero root of $\pi(G,x)$. In this paper, we use the Gallai-Edmonds structure theorem to derive a concise formula which reveals the relationship between the permanental nullity and the matching number of a graph. Furthermore, we prove a necessary and sufficient condition for a graph G to have $\eta_{per}(G) = 0$. As applications, we show that every unicyclic graph G on n vertices satisfies $n - 2\nu(G) - 1 \leq \eta_{per}(G) \leq n - 2\nu(G)$, that the permanental nullity of the line graph of a graph is either zero or one, and that the permanental nullity of a factor critical graph is always zero.
AMS classification: 05C31; 05C50; 15A15

Keywords: Permanental polynomial; Permanental nullity; Matching number

1 Introduction

Let $G = (V(G), E(G))$ be a simple graph with n vertices and m edges. The *neighborhood* of vertex $v \in V(G)$ in a graph G, denoted by $N_G(v)$ (or just $N(v)$, when G is understood from the context), is the set of vertices adjacent to v. For $T \subseteq V(G)$, we use $G[T]$ to denote the *induced subgraph* of G by T. The *line graph* $L(G)$ of G is the graph whose vertex set is $E(G)$, where two vertices of $L(G)$ are adjacent in $L(G)$ if and only if the corresponding edges are adjacent in G.

A set M of edges in G is *a matching* if every vertex of G is incident with at most one edge in M. For two matchings M and N, the *symmetric difference* of M and N is defined to be $M \triangle N = (M \cup N) - (M \cap N)$. A vertex v said to be *covered* (or *saturated*) by M if some edge of M is incident with v. A *maximum matching* is one which covers as many vertices as possible. In particular, a maximum matching covering all vertices of G is called a *perfect matching*. A *near-perfect* matching in a graph G is one covering all but exactly one vertex of G. The size of a maximum matching in G is called the *matching number* of G and is denoted by $\nu(G)$. A graph G is said to be *factor-critical* if $G - v$ has a perfect matching for every $v \in V(G)$.

*This work is supported by NSFC (11371180) and a project of QHMU(2015XZJ12).
†*E-mail address*: mathtzwu@163.com, hjlai@math.wvu.edu

The *permanent* of an $n \times n$ matrix $A = (a_{ij})(i, j = 1, 2, \ldots, n)$ is defined as

$$\mathrm{per}(A) = \sum_{\sigma} \prod_{i=1}^{n} a_{i\sigma(i)},$$

where the sum is taken over all permutations σ of $\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$. Valiant [25] showed that computing the permanent of a matrix is #P-complete even when restricted to (0, 1)-matrices.

For an n by n matrix A, define $\mathrm{per}(xI - A)$ to be the permanental polynomial of A. If G is a graph and $A(G)$ is the *adjacency matrix* of G, then *permanental polynomial* of G is defined to be $\pi(G, x) = \mathrm{per}(xI - A(G))$. That is, the permanental polynomial of $A(G)$. The *permanental spectrum* of G, denoted by $ps(G)$, is the collection of all roots (together with their multiplicities) of $\pi(G, x)$. The multiplicity of the zero root of $\pi(G, x)$, denoted by $\eta_{per}(G)$, is called the *permanental nullity* (per-nullity for short) of G.

It seems that the permanental polynomials of graphs were first considered by Turner [24]. Subsequently, Merris et al. [20] and Kasum et al. [15] systematically introduced permanental polynomial and its potential applications in mathematical and chemical studies, respectively. Since then, very few research papers on the permanental polynomial were published for a period of time (see [5]). This may be due to the difficulty of computing the permanent $\mathrm{per}(xI - A(G))$. However, permanental polynomials and their applications have received a lot of attention from researchers in recent years, as shown in [1, 3, 6, 9, 11, 12, 13, 14, 22, 26, 28] and the references therein.

The *spectrum* of a graph (i.e., the roots of the characteristic polynomial of a graph with their multiplicities. See [10]) encodes useful combinatorial information of the graph. The relationship between the structural properties of a graph and its spectrum has been studied extensively over the years. Nevertheless, only a few results on the permanental spectrum have been published. Brenner and Brualdi [4] proved the following: If A is an n by n matrix with nonnegative entries and spectral radius ρ, then every root of the permanental polynomial of A must be in $\{z : |z| \leq \rho\}$. Merris [19] observed that if A is hermitian with eigenvalues $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \ldots \geq \lambda_n$, then each real permanental root of A is in the interval $[\lambda_n, \lambda_1]$. Borowiecki [2] proved that G has $ps(G) = \{i\lambda_1, \ldots, i\lambda_n\}$ if and only if G is bipartite without cycles of length $4k$ $(k = 1, 2, \ldots)$, where i is imaginary unit and $\{\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n\}$ is the adjacency spectrum of G. Zhang et al. [29] proved that every graph does not have a negative real permanental root. In particular, they showed that a bipartite graph has no real permanental roots except possibly zero. Additionally, several papers have been published on graphs uniquely determined by their permanental spectra, see [16, 17, 23, 30], among others.

In [21], Wu and Zhang introduced the per-nullity of a graph, and presented some elementary properties of per-nullity. Furthermore, they characterized the extremal graphs of order n whose per-nullities are $n-2$, $n-3$, $n-4$ and $n-5$, respectively. It is natural to consider the problem of computing the per-nullity of graphs. In this paper, we investigate the problem of computing the per-nullity of graphs, and find a relationship between per-nullity and matching number of a graph. The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we demonstrate some preliminaries on per-nullity of graphs. In Section 3, using the Gallai-Edmonds structure theorem, we obtain a relationship between the per-nullity and the matching number of a graph. In Section 4, we determine all graphs with zero per-nullity. In the last section, we apply our main results to several classes of graphs, including unicyclic graphs, line graphs and factor critical graphs.

2 Preliminaries

A *Sachs graph* is a simple graph such that each component is regular and has degree 1 or 2. In other words, the components are single edges and cycles.

Lemma 2.1. *(R. Merris et al. [20]) Let G be a graph with $\pi(G,x)=\sum_{k=0}^{n} b_k(G)x^{n-k}$. Then*

$$b_k(G)=(-1)^k\sum_{H}2^{c(H)}, 1\le k\le n,$$

where the sum is taken over all Sachs subgraphs H of G on k vertices, and $c(H)$ is the number of cycles in H.

Let $S(G)$ be a maximum Sachs subgraph of G (i.e., $S(G)$ has the maximum number of vertices among all Sachs subgraph of G). By the definition of a Sachs graph, $S(G)$ has three possible structures: a maximum matching, union of disjoint cycles, or union of some disjoint single edges and cycles. In [21], two elementary properties of per-nullity of graphs are introduced as follows.

Lemma 2.2. *(T. Wu and H. Zhang [21]) Let G be a simple graph with n vertices.*
(i) $\eta_{per}(G)=n$ if and only if G is an empty graph.
(ii) If $G_1,G_2,...,G_t$ are the connected components of G, then $\eta_{per}(G)=\sum_{i=1}^{t}\eta_{per}(G_i)$.

Lemma 2.3. *(T. Wu and H. Zhang [21]) Let G be a graph with n vertices and $S(G)$ be a maximum Sachs subgraph of G. Then $\eta_{per}(G)=n-|V(S(G))|$.*

In the following we present the famous Gallai-Edmonds structure theorem on matchings of graphs. Definition 2.4(i) comes from [18, 27]. The notation of Definition 2.4 (i) and (ii) will be used throughout this paper.

Definition 2.4. *Let G be a graph.*
(i) Let $D(G)$ be the set of all vertices in G which are not saturated by at least one maximum matching of G. Define $B(G)=\{v\in(V(G)-D(G)) : \text{there exist a } u\in B(G) \text{ with } uv\in E(G)\}$. Finally let $C(G)=V(G)-(D(G)\cup B(G))$. This yields a vertex-partition of $V(G)$ into $B(G)$, $C(G)$ and $D(G)$, which is well-defined for every graph and does not depend on the choices of any maximum matching.
(ii) Let $D_0'(G)$ be the set of all isolated vertices in $G[D(G)]$ and $\mathcal{F}(G)$ be the set of components in $G[D(G)]$ each of which has order at least 3.

With this partition, the Gallai-Edmonds structure theorem is stated as follows.

Theorem 2.5. *(Gallai-Edmonds Structure Theorem [18, 27]) Let G be a graph and let $B(G)$, $C(G)$ and $D(G)$ be the vertex-partition defined above. Each of the following holds.*
(i) The components of the subgraph induced by $D(G)$ are factor-critical.
(ii) The subgraph induced by $C(G)$ has a perfect matching.
(iii) Any maximum matching M of G contains a near-perfect matching of each component of $G[D(G)]$ and a perfect matching of each component of $G[C(G)]$, and M matches all vertices of $B(G)$ with vertices in distinct components of $G[D(G)]$.
(iv) The size of maximum matching is $\frac{1}{2}(|V(G)|-c(D(G))+|B(G)|)$, where $c(D(G))$ denotes the number of components of the graph spanned by $D(G)$.

By Theorem 2.5, we obtain the following lemma, which will be used later in our arguments.

Lemma 2.6. *Let G be a graph with $\mathcal{F}(G) \neq \emptyset$ and without a perfect matching. If a maximum matching M of G covers as many isolated vertices in $G[D(G)]$ as possible, then there must exist at least one component of $G[D(G)]$ in $\mathcal{F}(G)$ not covered by M.*

Proof. Since G does not have a perfect matching, it follows from Theorem 2.5(iv) that $c(G[D(G)]) > |B(G)|$. By Theorem 2.5(iii), M contains a subset M_{BD} which matches $B(G)$ with vertices in distinct components of $D(G)$. Let $W \subseteq D(G)$ be the set of vertices covered by M_{BD}. Then W consists of some isolate vertices in $G[D(G)]$ and some vertices of components each of which has at least 3 vertices in $G[D(G)]$. If all vertices in W are taken isolate vertices in $G[D(G)]$, or if $G[D(G)]$ does not have any isolated vertices, then the conclusion follows from the fact that $c(G[D(G)]) > |B(G)|$ and the assumption that $\mathcal{F}(G) \neq \emptyset$. Let $D_0''(G)$ be a subset of $D_0'(G)$. Define $B'(G) = \{u \in B(G) \ : \text{for some } w \in D(G),\ uw \in M_{BD}\}$, $B_1'(G) = \{v \in B'(G) \ : \text{for some } w \in D_0''(G) \subseteq D_0'(G),\ vw \in M_{BD}\}$, and $B_2'(G) = B'(G) - B_1'(G)$. Since the choice of M maximizes $|B_1'(G)|$, for every vertex $v \in B_2'(G)$, $N_G(v) \cap (D_0'(G) - D_0''(G)) = \emptyset$. It follows from the definition of $D(G)$ that there must be a vertex in $B'(G)$ adjacent to at least two components in $G[D(G)]$ that are in $\mathcal{F}(G)$. The conclusion of the lemma now follows. □

Theorem 2.7. *(G. Chartrand et al. [8]) Let G be a nontrivial connected graph. Then the line graph $L(G)$ contains a perfect matching if and only if $|E(G)| \equiv 0$ (mod 2).*

Corollary 2.8. *(G. Chartrand et al. [8]) The line graph $L(G)$ of a nontrivial graph G has a perfect matching if and only if every component of $L(G)$ has even order.*

Let G be a connected graph with at least 3 edges and $|E(G)| \equiv 1 \pmod 2$. Then as G has a spanning tree, G has an edge e such that $G - e$ is either connected or has two components with one being an isolated vertex. If G is 2-edge-connected, then for any $e \in E(G)$, $G - e$ is connected and has an even number of edges. With these observations, we have the following consequence of Theorem 2.7.

Theorem 2.9. *Let G be a connected graph with at least 3 edges and with $|E(G)| \equiv 1$ (mod 2). Each of the following holds.*
(i) The line graph $L(G)$ contains a near-perfect matching.
(ii) If, in addition, G is 2-edge-connected, then $L(G)$ is factor-critical.

3 A relationship between the per-nullity and the matching number of graphs

By Lemma 2.2 and by working componentwise, it suffices to discuss connected graphs in this sections. We start with a lemma.

Lemma 3.1. *Let G be a factor-critical graph with $n \geq 3$ vertices. Each of the following holds.*
(i) Every vertex $v \in V(G)$ lies in an odd cycle of G.
(ii) There exist an odd cycle C and a maximum matching M of G such that G is covered by $E(C) \cup M$ and such that the maximum degree of $G[E(C) \cup (M - E(G[V(C)]))]$ is 2. (Thus $G[E(C) \cup M]$ is a maximum Sachs subgraph of G.)

Proof. Since G is a factor-critical graph, $|V(G)|$ is odd, and G is connected and not a bipartite graph. If G is an odd cycle, then Lemma 3.1 is obvious. Thus, suppose that G is not a cycle below.

Let uv be an edge of G. Since G is factor-critical, $G-v$ has a perfect matching M_v. Similarly, $G-u$ has a perfect matching M_u. It follows that the symmetric difference $M_u \triangle M_v$ contains exactly one path P of even length joining u and v. By the choices of M_u and M_v, the edge uv is not in P, and so $C = P + uv$ is an odd containing v. Since M_v covers all vertices of $G-v$, $E(C) \cup (M_v - E(G[V(C)]))$ is a cover of G. By the definition of M_v, no edge in $M_v - E(G[V(C)])$ is incident with an edge in C, and so the maximum degree of $G[E(C) \cup (M - E(G[V(C)]))]$ is 2. This completes the proof of the lemma. □

Lemma 3.2. *Let G be a connected graph with n vertices and with the size of a maximum matching being $\nu(G)$. The following are equivalent.*
(i) $\eta_{per}(G) = n - 2\nu(G)$.
(ii) Either G has a perfect matching or $E(G[D(G)]) = \emptyset$.

Proof. Assume (i) to prove (ii). By Lemma 2.3, the equality $\eta_{per}(G) = n - 2\nu(G)$ implies that a maximum matching of G is a maximum Sachs subgraph. By the definition of $D(G)$, we observe that if $D(G) = \emptyset$, then G has a perfect matching, and so (ii) holds. Hence we assume that $D(G) \neq \emptyset$. Suppose that there exists at least one components of $G[D(G)]$ having at least 3 vertices. By Lemma 2.6, there must be at least one component of $G[D(G)]$ in $\mathcal{F}(G)$ not covered by M. Let $\mathcal{F}_M(G)$ denote all such components. By Lemma 3.1, for each $L \in \mathcal{F}_M(G)$, there exists an odd cycle C_L and a subset $M_L \subset M \cap E(L)$, such that $E(C_L) \cup M_L$ covers $V(L)$ and such that the maximum degree of $L[E(C_L) \cup M_L]$ is at most 2. Thus, $H = G[\cup_{L \in \mathcal{F}_M(G)}(E(C_L) \cup M_L)]$ is a Sachs graph H such that $|V(H)|$ is more than the number of vertices in G covered by the maximum matching M. This implies that any maximum matching of G is not a maximum Sachs subgraph, contrary to the fact that any maximum matching must also be a maximum Sachs subgraph. Therefore, $\mathcal{F}(G) = \emptyset$ and so $E(G[D(G)]) = \emptyset$.

We now assume (ii) to prove (i). If G has a perfect matching, then the perfect matching is a maximum Sachs subgraph of G. By Lemma 2.3, $\eta_{per}(G) = n - 2\nu(G)$. Suppose that G does not have a perfect matching and $E(G[D(G)]) = \emptyset$. Since every maximum matching of G is a maximum Sachs subgraph of G, it follows by Lemma 2.3 that $\eta_{per}(G) = n - 2\nu(G)$. □

Definition 3.3. *For a maximum matching M of G such that*

$$\text{the number of isolated vertices in } G[D(G)] \text{ covered by } M \text{ is maximized,} \tag{1}$$

define $M(G)$ to be the number of components of order at least 3 in $G[D(G)]$ each of which has just a vertex not covered by M.

By Theorem 2.5(i), every graph in $\mathcal{F}(G)$ is factor-critical. By Lemma 3.2, if $\mathcal{F}(G) \neq \emptyset$, then $\eta_{per}(G) < n - 2\nu(G)$. The next lemma describes the per-nullity of the graphs with $\mathcal{F}(G) \neq \emptyset$.

Lemma 3.4. *Let G be a connected graph with n vertices and without a perfect matching, If $\mathcal{F}(G) \neq \emptyset$, then*

$$\eta_{per}(G) = n - 2\nu(G) - M(G).$$

Proof. Let M be a maximum matching of G satisfying (1). Since G does not have a perfect matching, by Theorem 2.5, $c(D(G)) > |B(G)|$. Then there exists at least one $H \in \mathcal{F}(G)$ such that H has just a vertex not covered by M. By Lemma 3.1 every $H \in \mathcal{F}(G)$ has an odd cycle C_H such that $E(E(C_H)) \cup (M - E(G[V(C_H)]))$ is a cover of H. It follows that G has a maximum Sachs subgraph $S(G)$ consisting of disjoint odd cycles $\{C_H : H \in \mathcal{F}(G)\}$, and a subset of M. It is routine to verify that $|S(G)| = 2\nu(G) + M(G)$, and so by Lemma 2.3, we have $\eta_{per}(G) = n - 2\nu(G) - M(G)$. □

By applying Lemmas 3.2 and 3.4, we obtain the main result of this section. Recall that $D(G)$ and $M(G)$ are defined in Definitions 2.4 and 3.3, for a given maximum matching M of G.

Theorem 3.5. *Let G be a connected graph with n vertices, and let M be a maximum matching of G satisfying (1). Then*

$$\eta_{per}(G) = \begin{cases} n - 2\nu(G) & \text{if } G \text{ has a perfect matching or } \mathcal{F}(G) = \emptyset, \\ n - 2\nu(G) - M(G) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

4 The graphs with zero per-nullity

For a simple graph G on n vertices, it is known that $0 \leq \eta_{per}(G) \leq n - 2$. In this section, we will characterize the graphs with zero per-nullity. Note that by Lemma 2.3,

$$\eta_{per}(G) = 0 \text{ if and only if } G \text{ has a spanning Sachs subgraph.} \tag{2}$$

Theorem 4.1. *Let $n \geq 2$ be an integer, G be a connected graph on n vertices. Then $\eta_{per}(G) = 0$ if and only if one of the following holds:*
(i) G has a perfect matching, or
(ii) $G[D(G)]$ has no isolated vertices, or
(iii) $G[D(G)]$ has isolated vertices and G has a maximum matching covering every isolated vertices of $G[D(G)]$.

Proof. Assume first that G satisfies one of (i), (ii) and (iii). We are to show that $\eta_{per}(G) = 0$.

If (i) holds, then by Theorem 3.5, $\eta_{per}(G) = 0$. Hence we may assume that G has no perfect matchings, and so $|D(G)| > 0$.

Suppose (ii) holds. Then $|\mathcal{F}(G)| = c(D(G))$. By Lemma 3.1, each $H \in \mathcal{F}(G)$ has a Sachs subgraph $S(H)$ to cover $V(H)$. Let M be a perfect matching of $G[C(G)]$. Then $S(G) = M \cup (\cup_{H \in \mathcal{F}(G)} E(S(H)))$ is a spanning Sachs subgraph of G, and so by (2), $\eta_{per}(G) = n - |V(S(G))| = 0$.

Finally, we assume that G has a maximum matching M covering every isolated vertices of $G[D(G)]$. By Lemma 3.1, a Sachs subgraph exists to cover every graph in $\mathcal{F}(G)$. Edges in these Sachs subgraphs in the graphs of $\mathcal{F}(G)$ together with a subset of M induces a spanning Sachs subgraph of G. By (2), $\eta_{per}(G) = n - |V(S(G))| = 0$.

Conversely, we assume that $\eta_{per}(G) = 0$ to show (i) or (ii) or (iii) must occur. Choose a maximum matching M satisfying (1). By Theorem 3.5, if $M(G) = 0$, then the assumption $\eta_{per}(G) = 0$ leads to $|V(G)| = 2\nu(G)$. In this case, G has a perfect matching, and so (i) follows. Hence we assume that $M(G) > 0$. By Lemma 3.4, $|V(G)| = 2\nu(G) + M(G)$. This implies that either all components of order 1 in $G[D(G)]$ is covered by M, whence (ii) holds; or every component of $G[D(G)]$ is in $\mathcal{F}(G)$, whence (iii) follows. This completes the proof of the theorem. □

5 Some applications

In this section, we determine the per-nullity of some classes of graphs as applications of Theorems 3.5 and 4.1. An *unicyclic* graph is a connected graph with equal number of vertices and edges. The theorem below determines the per-nullity of unicyclic graphs.

Theorem 5.1. *Let G be an unicyclic graph with n vertices and the unique cycle in G is denoted by C_ℓ. Then*

$$\eta_{per}(G) = \begin{cases} n - 2\nu(G) - 1 & \text{if } \ell \text{ is odd and } \nu(G) = \frac{\ell-1}{2} + \nu(G - C_\ell), \\ n - 2\nu(G) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. Since C_ℓ is the only one cycle in G, it is routine to see that only $C_\ell \in \mathcal{F}(G)$ is a factor-critical component. By Theorem 3.5, we have $n - 2\nu(G) - 1 \leq \eta_{per}(G) \leq n - 2\nu(G)$.

If $\eta_{per}(G) = n - 2\nu(G) - 1$, then by Theorem 3.5, there exists a maximum matching M of G satisfying (1), and $|\mathcal{F}(G)| = 1$. Since G is an unicyclic graph, the factor-critical component of G must be C_ℓ. This implies that C_ℓ is odd. By (iii) of Theorem 2.5, we have $\nu(G) = \frac{\ell-1}{2} + \nu(G - C_\ell)$.

Assume that C_ℓ is odd and $\nu(G) = \frac{\ell-1}{2} + \nu(G - C_\ell)$. Then C_ℓ is factor-critical, and there exists a maximum matching covering all vertices of C_ℓ excepting a vertex. It follows from Theorem 3.5 that $\eta_{per}(G) = n - 2\nu(G) - 1$.

By Theorem 3.5, it is routine to verify that in this case, $\eta_{per}(G) = n - 2\nu(G)$ if and only if G has a perfect matching or if $\mathcal{F}(G) = \emptyset$, The theorem now follows. □

Theorem 5.2. *Let G be an unicyclic graph with a unique cycle C. Then $\eta_{per}(G) = 0$ if and only if G is an odd cycle, G has a perfect matching, or $G - V(C)$ has a perfect matching.*

Proof. By (i) and (ii) of Theorem 4.1, it is routine to verify that if G is an odd cycle or G has a perfect matching, then $\eta_{per}(G) = 0$. Thus we assume that G is not an odd cycle and G does not have a perfect matching, and that $G - V(C)$ has a perfect matching M_C. Then $E(C) \cup M_C$ is a spanning Schas subgraph of G, and so by (2), $\eta_{per}(G) = 0$.

Conversely, assume that $\eta_{per}(G) = 0$, and that G is not an odd cycle and G does not have a perfect matching. We are to show that $G - V(C)$ has a perfect matching. By contradiction, suppose that $G - V(C)$ does not have a perfect matching. By Theorem 4.1, (ii) or (iii) of Theorem 4.1 must hold. Since G is an unicyclic graph, the cycle C of G must be the only factor-critical component order at least 3 in $G[D(G)]$. Hence $|V(C)|$ is odd. If Theorem 4.1 (ii) holds, then G must be an odd cycle, contrary to the assumption that G is not an odd cycle. Hence Theorem 4.1 (iii) must hold. By Theorem 2.5 (iii), $G - V(C)$ has a perfect matching. This completes the proof of the theorem. □

By Theorems 2.7, 2.9 and 3.5, we obtain the following results.

Theorem 5.3. *Let $L(G)$ be the line graph of G. Then the per-nullity of $L(G)$ equals zero or one.*

Theorem 5.4. *Let G be a factor-critical graph. Then $\eta_{per}(G) = 0$.*

References

[1] F. Belardo, V. De Filippis, S.K. Simić, Computing the permanental polynomial of a matrix from a combinatorial viewpoint, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 66 (2011) 381–396.

[2] M. Borowiecki, On spectrum and per-spectrum of graphs, Publ. Inst. Math. 38 (1985) 31–33.

[3] M. Borowiecki, T. Jóźwiak, Computing the permanental polynomial of a multigraph, Discuss. Math. TV (1982) 9–16.

[4] J.L. Brenner, R.A. Brualdi, Eigenschaften der permanentefunktion, Arch. Math. 18 (1967) 585–586.

[5] G.G. Cash, The permanental polynomial, J. Chem. Inf. Comput. Sci. 40 (2000) 1203–1206.

[6] G.G. Cash, Permanental polynomials of smaller fullerenes, J. Chem. Inf. Comput. Sci. 40 (2000) 1207–1209.

[7] G.G. Cash, A differential-operator approach to the permanental polynomial, J. Chem. Inf. Comput. Sci. 42 (2002) 1131–1135.

[8] G. Chartrand, A.D. Polimeni, M.J. Stewart, The existance of 1-factors in line graphs, squares and total graphs, Indag. Math. 35 (1973) 228–232.

[9] R. Chen, A note on the relations between the permanental and characteristic polynomials of coronoid hydrocarbons, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 51 (2004) 137–148.

[10] D. Cvetković, M. Doob, H. Sachs, Spectra of Graphs, Academic Press, New York, 1980.

[11] J. Geelen, An algebraic approach to matching problems, RIMS Kokyuroku 1185 (2001) 63–71.

[12] I. Gutman, Permanents of adjacency matrices and their dependence on molecular structures, Polycyclic Aromatic Compounds 12 (1998) 281–287.

[13] I. Gutman, G.G. Cash, Relations between the permanental and characteristic polynomials of fullerenes and benzenoid hydrocarbons, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 45 (2002) 55–70.

[14] Y. Huo, H. Liang, F. Bai, An efficient algorithm for computing permanental polynomials of graphs, Comput. Phys. Comm. 175 (2006) 196–203.

[15] D. Kasum, N. Trinajstić, I. Gutman, Chemical graph theory. III. On permanental polynomial, Croat. Chem. Acta. 54 (1981) 321–328.

[16] S. Liu, H. Zhang, On the characterizing properties of the permanental polynomials of graphs, Linear Algebra Appl. 438 (2013) 157–172.

[17] S. Liu, H. Zhang, Characterizing properties of permanental polynomials of lollipop graphs, Linear Multilinear Algebra 62 (2014) 419–444.

[18] L. Lovász, M.D. Plummer, Matching Theory, American Mathematical Society, 2009.

[19] R. Merris, Two problems involving Schur functions, Linear Algebra and Appl. 10 (1975) 155–162.

[20] R. Merris, K.R. Rebman, W. Watkins, Permanental polynomials of graphs, Linear Algebra Appl. 38 (1981) 273–288.

[21] T. Wu, H. Zhang, Per-spectral characterizations of graphs with extremal per-nullity, Linear Algebra Appl. 484 (2015) 13–26.

[22] T. Wu, H. Zhang, Some analytical properties of the permanental polynomial of a graph, Ars Combin. 123 (2015) 261–267.

[23] T. Wu, H. Zhang, Per-spectral and adjacency spectral characterizations of a complete graph removing six edges, Discrete Appl. Math., 2015, doi:10.1016/j.dam.2015.09.014.

[24] J. Turner, Generalized matrix functions and the graph isomorphism problem, SIAM J. Appl. Math. 16 (1968) 520–526.

[25] L.G. Valiant, The complexity of computing the permanent, Theoret. Comput. Sci. 8 (1979) 189–201.

[26] W. Yan, F. Zhang, On the permanental polynomial of some graphs, J. Math. Chem. 35 (2004) 175–188.

[27] Q. Yu, G. Liu, Graph Factors and Matching Extensions, Springer, 2009.

[28] H. Zhang, W. Li, Computing the permanental polynomials of bipartite graphs by Pfaffian orientation, Discrete Appl. Math. 160 (2012) 2069–2074.

[29] H. Zhang, S. Liu, W. Li, A note on the permanental roots of bipartite graphs, Disscu. Math. Graph Theory 34 (2014) 49–56.

[30] H. Zhang, T. Wu, H. Lai, Per-spectral characterizations of some edge-deleted subgraphs of a complete graph, Linear Multilinear Algebra 63 (2015) 397–410.